Case: 1:11-cv-00836 Document #: 19 Filed: 05/10/11 Page 1 of 1 PageID #:97

AO 121 (6/90)

то:	
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS (USPTO) P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	REPORT ON THE FILING OF DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION OR APPEAL REGARDING A COPYRIGHT

In compliance with the Act of July 19, 1952 (66 Stat. 814; 35 U.S.C. 290) you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed on the following patent(s) in the U.S. District Court:

DOCKET NO. 11 C 836	DATE FILED 02/07/2011	NORTHE	STATES DISTRICT COURT, ERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, N DIVISION
PLAINTIFFS Gleason Innovations, LLC		DEFENDANT Hu-Friedy Mfg	S g. Co., LLC, et al
TRADEMARK NUMBER	DATE OF	TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1. 6,852,014	02/08/2005		Roger L. Gleason
2. 6,971,949	12/06/2005		Roger Gleason
3. 6,254,464	07/03/2001		Sosaku Kawata
4.			
5.			

In the above-entitled case, the following trademarks(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY [] Amendment [] Answer	[] Cross Bill [] Other Pleading
TRADEMARK NUMBER	DATE OF TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In the above-entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgment issued: Please see attached minute order dated 5/9/2011

DECISION/JUDGMENT		
CLERK	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE
MICHAEL W. DOBBINS	V. Jones	5/10/2011

Case: 1:11-cv-00836 Document #: 18 Filed: 05/09/11 Page 1 of 1 PageID #:96

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE Northern District of Illinois – CM/ECF LIVE, Ver 4.2 Eastern Division

Gleason Innovations, LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.: 1:11-cv-00836 Honorable Amy J. St. Eve

Hu-Friedy Mfg. Co., LLC, et al.

Defendant.

NOTIFICATION OF DOCKET ENTRY

This docket entry was made by the Clerk on Monday, May 9, 2011:

MINUTE entry before Honorable Amy J. St. Eve: In light of settlement, this case is hereby dismissed without prejudice with leave to reinstate by 6/10/11. After 6/10/11, said dismissal will be with prejudice. All pending dates and deadlines are stricken. Plaintiff's motion to stay [17] is denied as moot. Status hearing set for 6/2/11 and settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Kim on 6/29/11 are stricken. Civil case terminated. Mailed notice(kef,)

ATTENTION: This notice is being sent pursuant to Rule 77(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Rule 49(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. It was generated by CM/ECF, the automated docketing system used to maintain the civil and criminal dockets of this District. If a minute order or other document is enclosed, please refer to it for additional information.

For scheduled events, motion practices, recent opinions and other information, visit our web site at www.ilnd.uscourts.gov.

Case: 1:11-cv-00836 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/11 Page 1 of 7 PageID #:1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

GLEASON INNOVATIONS, LLC,)	
plaintiff,)	
v.) No. 11-cv-83	5
HU-FRIEDY MFG. CO., LLC and)	
HU-FRIEDY MFG. CO., INC.,)	
defendants.	,)	

COMPLAINT

The plaintiff, GLEASON INNOVATIONS, LLC [hereinafter referred to as "Gleason"], for its Complaint against the defendants, HU-FRIEDY MFG. CO., LLC and HU-FRIEDY MFG. CO., INC., [hereinafter sometimes collectively referred to as "Hu-Friedy"], states:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Gleason is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Missouri and having a principal place of business at 6135 N.W. Hickory Place, Parkville, Missouri.
- 2. Hu-Friedy Mfg. Co., LLC is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, having an agent at 208 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 814, Chicago, Illinois, and having a principal place of business at 3232 N. Rockwell, Chicago, Illinois.
- 3. Hu-Friedy Mfg. Co., Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Illinois, having an agent at 208 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 814, Chicago, Illinois, and having a principal place of business at 3232 N. Rockwell, Chicago, Illinois.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This lawsuit is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of

Case: 1:11-cv-00836 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/11 Page 2 of 7 PageID #:2

the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this

action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over both Hu-Friedy entities because each

has a place of business in this judicial district, each has an agent within this judicial district, and

each regularly transacts business within this judicial district.

6. Venue lies within this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), and

1400(b).

COUNT I—INDUCEMENT TO INFRINGE UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,852,014

7. On February 8, 2005, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and

legally issued United States Patent No. 6,852,014 ["the '014 patent"], entitled "Sharpening

Guide for Dental Tools." A true and correct copy of the '014 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

8. Gleason owns the '014 patent by assignment from the inventor.

9. On information and belief, at least one of the Hu-Friedy entities makes, uses,

sells, offers to sell, or imports into the United States, a sharpening guide for dental tools under

the brand name "Sidekick," and markets the Sidekick sharpening guide to end-users.

10. Use of the Sidekick by end-users infringes claim 1 of the '014 patent.

11. On information and belief, at least one of the Hu-Friedy entities instructed,

directed, or advised the end-users of the Sidekick how to carry out a direct infringement.

12. On information and belief, at least one of the Hu-Friedy entities knew or should

have known that its actions would induce actual infringement by the end-users.

13. Hu-Friedy therefore induced infringement of claim 1 of the '014 patent.

14. Hu-Friedy's indirect infringement of the '014 patent has caused damage to

Gleason v. Hu-Friedy Complaint Page 2 of 7 Case: 1:11-cv-00836 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/11 Page 3 of 7 PageID #:3

Gleason in the form of lost royalty payments.

15. On information and belief, Hu-Friedy had actual knowledge of the '014 patent yet

continued its infringing conduct notwithstanding that knowledge. Accordingly, Hu-Friedy's

infringement has been willful and deliberate.

COUNT II—CONTRIBUTORY INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,852,014

16. On February 8, 2005, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and

legally issued United States Patent No. 6,852,014 ["the '014 patent"], entitled "Sharpening

Guide for Dental Tools." A true and correct copy of the '014 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

17. Gleason owns the '014 patent by assignment from the inventors.

18. On information and belief, at least one of the Hu-Friedy entities sells or offers to

sell within the United States, or imports into the United States, a sharpening guide for dental

tools under the brand name "Sidekick," and markets the Sidekick sharpening guide to end-users.

19. The Sidekick is used in practicing claim 1 of the '014 patent and is especially

made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of claim 1 of the '014 patent, and is not a

staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use.

20. Hu-Friedy is therefore liable under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) for contributory

infringement of claim 1 of the '014 patent.

21. Hu-Friedy's contributory infringement has caused damage to Gleason in the form

of lost royalty payments.

22. Hu-Friedy had actual knowledge of the '014 patent yet continued its infringing

conduct notwithstanding that knowledge. Accordingly, Hu-Friedy's infringement has been

willful and deliberate.

Gleason v. Hu-Friedy Complaint Page 3 of 7 Case: 1:11-cv-00836 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/11 Page 4 of 7 PageID #:4

COUNT III—INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,971,949

23. On December 6, 2005, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and

legally issued United States Patent No. 6,971,949 ["the '949 patent"], entitled "Sharpening

Guide for Dental Tools." A true and correct copy of the '949 patent is attached as Exhibit B.

24. Gleason owns the '949 patent by assignment from the inventors.

25. On information and belief, at least one of the Hu-Friedy entities makes, uses,

sells, offers to sell, or imports into the United States, a sharpening guide for dental tools under

the brand name "Sidekick."

26. On information and belief, at least one of the Hu-Friedy entities has infringed at

least claim 19 of the '949 patent by making, using, selling, offering to sell, or importing into the

United States, the Sidekick sharpening guide for dental tools.

27. Hu-Friedy's infringement of the '949 patent has caused damage to Gleason in the

form of lost royalty payments.

28. Hu-Friedy had actual knowledge of the '949 patent yet continued its infringing

conduct notwithstanding that knowledge. Accordingly, Hu-Friedy's infringement has been

willful and deliberate.

COUNT IV—FALSE MARKING

29. Hu-Friedy markets and sells a sharpening guide for dental tools under the brand

name "Sidekick."

30. The United States Patent and Trademark Office issued United States Patent No.

Gleason v. Hu-Friedy Complaint Page 4 of 7 Case: 1:11-cv-00836 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/11 Page 5 of 7 PageID #:5

6,254,464, Hand Scaler Polishing Unit and Hand Scaler Polishing Apparatus, on July 3, 2001. A

true and correct copy of the '464 patent is attached as Exhibit C.

31. Hu-Friedy has mark the Sidekick, in at least its catalog available at

www.hufriedy.com on February 5, 2011, with "US Patent No. 6,254,464."

32. Hu-Friedy marks the Sidekick, in at least the User Manual available at

www.hufriedy.com on February 5, 2011, as "Patented, US Patent No. 6,254,464."

33. Claim 1, the sole independent claim of United States Patent No. 6,254,464 [the

"'464 patent"], claims, among other limitations, "a base member having a base surface slanted at

an angle to a level line" and "a grindstone driving means for reciprocatingly moving said

grindstone mounting member on and along said base surface."

34. The Sidekick does not contain any means for moving its grindstone on and along

a base surface slanted at an angle to a level line.

35. Accordingly, the '464 patent does not read on the Sidekick.

36. The Sidekick is therefore not patented under the '464 patent.

37. Hu-Friedy does not, according to the records of the United States Patent and

Trademark Office, own the '464 patent.

38. Hu-Friedy claims to be "instrument specialists since 1908", "the trusted source for

the world's finest dental instruments", with "decades of industry knowledge", and therefore is a

sophisticated company with expertise in the field of dental instruments.

39. Hu-Friedy owns at least 30 United States patents, and therefore has experience

and institutional knowledge of patents in general and patent claims in particular.

40. Hu-Friedy therefore knew or should have known that the Sidekick is not covered

Gleason v. Hu-Friedy Complaint Page 5 of 7 Case: 1:11-cv-00836 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/11 Page 6 of 7 PageID #:6

by the '464 patent.

Hu-Friedy engaged in marking the Sidekick as patented with the intent to deceive 41.

the public and to gain a competitive advantage in the dental instrument market.

Gleason is a licensor of a dental instrument manufacturer and has suffered a 42.

competitive injury from Hu-Friedy's false marking.

Hu-Friedy's marking of the Sidekick as patented under the '464 patent is false 43.

marking within 35 U.S.C. § 292(a).

WHEREFORE, GLEASON INNOVATIONS, LLC, prays that this Court enter judgment

in its favor and against HU-FRIEDY MFG. CO., INC. and HU-FRIEDY MFG. CO., LLC, and

to award:

Damages adequate to compensate Gleason for the indirect infringement of the A.

'014 patent, from the date that infringement began, or the date of issuance of the

'014 patent, whichever is later, in the amount of a reasonable royalty;

Damages adequate to compensate Gleason for the infringement of the '949 patent, B.

from the filing date of this lawsuit, in the amount of a reasonable royalty;

Prejudgment interest for the indirect infringement of the '014 patent from the date C.

that infringement began, or the date of issuance of the '014 patent, whichever is

later;

Prejudgment interest for the infringement of the '949 patent from the date of D.

filing of this action;

E. All costs of this action;

F. Increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

> Gleason v. Hu-Friedy Complaint

Page 6 of 7

Case: 1:11-cv-00836 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/11 Page 7 of 7 PageID #:7

- G. Attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- H. A permanent injunction prohibiting further infringement of the patents-in-suit;
- I. Damages for false marking under 35 U.S.C. § 292(a), to be split with the United States of America; and
- J. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem proper.

JURY DEMAND

Gleason requests a trial by jury on all issues triable by jury.

Respectfully submitted, GLEASON INNOVATIONS, LLC

Date: February 7, 2011 By: s/Timothy M. McCarthy

Timothy M. McCarthy James A. O'Malley CLARK HILL PLC 150 N. Michigan Ave. Chicago, Illinois 60601 Tel: (312) 985-5900

Tel: (312) 985-5900 Fax: (312) 985-5999

Gleason v. Hu-Friedy Complaint Page 7 of 7